# **Drug Death Prevention (Scotland) Bill**

#### **About You**

Q1. Are you responding as:

An individual

Q2. Which of the following best describes you? (If you are a professional or academic, but not in a subject relevant to the consultation, please choose "Member of the public".)

Member of the public

Q3. Please select the category which best describes your organisation:

No Response

Q4. Please choose one of the following:

I am content for this response to be published and attributed to me or my organisation

Please provide your name or the name of your organisation. (Note: The name will not be published if you have asked for the response to be anonymous or "not for publication".)

Yvonne Bruce

Q5. Please provide a way in which we can contact you if there are queries regarding your response. Email is preferred but you can also provide a postal address or phone number. (Note: We will not publish these contact details.)

Q6. Data protection declaration

In order to proceed, please confirm that you have read and understood the Privacy Notice contained on Page 1

I confirm that I have read and understood the Privacy Notice to this consultation which explains how my personal data will be used.

Q7. If you are under 12 and making a submission, we will need to contact you to ask your parent or guardian to confirm to us that they are happy for you to send us your views.

No Response

## Your Views On The Proposal

Q8. Which of the following best expresses your view of the proposed Bill? (please note this is a compulsory question)

Fully supportive

#### Please explain the reasons for your response.

It is necessary to improve the lives of those addicted to substance abuse. It is known that some people have addictive tendencies and that is no fault of their own. Drugs are hard to overcome but those wishing to come off the drugs should be given support and dignity to do so.

Q9. Do you think legislation is required, or are there are other ways in which the Bill's aims could be achieved more effectively? Please explain the reasons for your response.

Legislation would mean that something will be done as it is more likely to get the funds needed to help those needing it otherwise it is too easy to say there are insufficient funds available and the matter is pushed back and people may very well die from their addiction when they could be living a better life without being consumed by drugs.

Q10. Which of the following best expresses your view of the proposal to establish overdose prevention centres?

Fully supportive

#### Please explain the reasons for your response.

These centres could save lives just because someone has a drug problem does not mean that they are not otherwise good people but have got caught in a downward spiral.

Q11. Which of the following best expresses your view of the proposal for a licensing regime to enable the establishment of overdose prevention centres?

Fully supportive

Please provide reasons for your response, including on the proposed conditions for licensing (see pages 12 to 14 of the consultation document) and on the proposal that health and social care partnerships are responsible for licensing and scrutinising OPCs?

It is good to have a standard to live up to and a body that could ensure that should a centre fail that it is brought up to standard again.

Q12. Which of the following best expresses your view of the proposal for a new body, the Scottish Drugs Deaths Council?

Neutral (neither support nor oppose)

Please provide reasons for your response, including views on the proposed functions of the SDDC (see pages 14 to 16 of the consultation document) and on how it should operate in practice.

Do not see the sense of another body when the centres are already being overseen

## **Financial Implications**

Q13. Any new law can have a financial impact which would affect individuals, businesses, the public sector, or others. What financial impact do you think this proposal could have if it became law?

some increase in costs

Please explain the reasons for your answer, including who you would expect to feel the financial impact of the proposal, and if there are any ways you think the proposal could be delivered more cost-effectively.

There is bound to be costs the impact would be on the Health budget but by doing something to help individuals it would mean less cost of hospital care in the event of overdose or organ failures Would hope that the cost would drop over time

### **Equalities**

Q14. Any new law can have an impact on different individuals in society, for example as a result of their age, disability, gender re-assignment, marriage and civil partnership status, pregnancy and maternity, race, religion or belief, sex or sexual orientation.

What impact could this proposal have on particular people if it became law? If you do not have a view skip to next question.

Please explain the reasons for your answer and if there are any ways you think the proposal could avoid negative impacts on particular people.

People should be treated as people there is so much division into different sections the main focus should be on solving the drug problem not what kind of person they are. First and foremost they should be treated as human beings with an equal chance to gain the help needed.

### Sustainability

Q15. Any new law can impact on work to protect and enhance the environment, achieve a sustainable economy, and create a strong, healthy, and just society for future generations.

Do you think the proposal could impact in any of these areas? If you do not have a view then skip to next question.

Please explain the reasons for your answer, including what you think the impact of the proposal could be, and if there are any ways you think the proposal could avoid negative impacts?

By treating people society will be improved as they will not be seen as a drain. By getting treatment individuals would have a chance of contributing to society and who can tell what that would be but a chance to have them back again could be a positive achievement.

There is always a chance of negative impacts in anything but people are worth taking a chance on. Who knows but for the grace of God anyone could be in that situation. To try and help is not a bad thing bot to do nothing is to contribute to a person's downfall.